

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,256	NAKATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shean C. Wu	1756	

All Participants:

(1) Shean C. Wu.

(2) Dr. Daniel Geselowitz.

Status of Application: non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5-6 and 11-12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 1. Correct the typographical errors on page 1, line 13 in the specification by changing the " R3 and R4" to --R2 and R3--.

2. In Claims 5-6 and 11-12, line 2, insert "further" before "comprising".

3. In Claims 5-6, line 1, delete "1" and insert "3" to change the dependency of the claims. .